



# DECISION 1

## Dr. Jashandeep Kaur

8975 Chinguacousy Rd #5  
Brampton, Ontario

### ALLEGATIONS OF PROFESSIONAL MISCONDUCT

- Contravened a standard of practice or failed to maintain the standards of practice of the profession (para. 1)
- Failed to keep records as required by the regulations (para. 25)
- Failed to reply appropriately or within a reasonable time to a written enquiry made by the College (para. 58)

### BRIEF SYNOPSIS OF FACTS

Dr. Kaur improperly prescribed medication to her two children. Specifically, she prescribed amoxicillin to treat a throat infection and antibiotics to treat bleeding from a fall. These prescriptions were for reasons that did not fall within the scope of practice for dentistry. In addition, she prescribed antibiotics and pain medication and a muscle relaxant to her husband on a few occasions. She did not properly keep records with respect to these family members and, with one exception, did not make proper notation of the rationale for the prescriptions she wrote to her husband and children. When contacted by the College, Dr. Kaur initially said that her husband, who had filed the complaint, was not a patient of the practice and that she had no records for him. However, she later sent these records into the College. In addition, she failed to provide the College with a list of dates and/or appointment schedules to confirm dates on which she treated one of her children, although this was requested by the College investigator at the request of a panel of the Inquiries, Complaints and Reports Committee.

### DECISION

#### 1. Finding

The member pleaded guilty and was found guilty with respect to the above allegations of professional misconduct.

#### 2. Penalty

- Reprimand
- Suspension of certificate of registration for two months (April 1, 2016 – May 31, 2016)
- Course in professional ethics
- Course in recordkeeping
- Practice to be monitored for 24 months following completion of courses

#### 3. Costs/Publication

- Costs awarded to College in the amount \$3,500
- Member to pay monitoring costs
- Pursuant to the legislation, publication of this matter includes the member's name and address

### PANEL'S REASONING

- The penalty proposed was a joint submission and the panel unanimously agreed that it was reasonable and in the public interest.
- The penalty meets the objectives of protecting the public, specific and general deterrence, rehabilitation of the member and maintaining public confidence in the profession.
- The panel considered the member's past of failing to comply with an undertaking given through the Inquiries, Complaints and Reports process as an aggravating factor.
- The panel now believes that, as a result of going through the discipline

process, she now clearly understands the obligations and expectations on a member when dealing with investigations and undertakings.

- The panel considered the member's cooperation, admission of guilt and expressed remorse for her actions as mitigating factors.